

**МАТЕМАТИКА**

UDC 519.711.2

**ON THE DYNAMICS OF THE DISCRETE DELAY MODELS OF GLUCOSE-INSULIN SYSTEMS\***

**DINH CONG HUONG**

*(Department of Mathematics, Quy Nhon University, Vietnam);*

**NGUYEN VAN MAU**

*(Department of Mathematical Analysis, Hanoi University of Science, Vietnam)*

*In this paper, we study the dynamics of the discrete delay models of Glucose-Insulin systems:*

$$\begin{cases} G_{n+1} = \alpha G_n - \beta G_n I_{n-m_g} + \Gamma, \\ I_{n+1} = \lambda I_n + \Delta f(G_{n-m_g}). \end{cases}$$

*We are interested in providing sufficient conditions guaranteeing the fact that all positive solutions of this systems converge to the positive equilibrium.*

**1. Introduction**

Our main motivation in studying the dynamics of the systems:

$$\begin{cases} G_{n+1} = \alpha G_n - \beta G_n I_{n-m_g} + \Gamma, \\ I_{n+1} = \lambda I_n + \Delta f(G_{n-m_g}) \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

is the dynamics of the differential version systems

$$\begin{cases} \dot{G}(t) = -K_{xg} G(t) - K_{xgi} G(t) I(t - \tau_i) + \frac{T_{gh}}{V_G}, \\ \dot{I}(t) = -K_{xi} I(t) + \frac{T_{iGmax}}{V_I} f(G(t - \tau_g)), \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where  $\gamma, G^*, K_{xgi}, K_{xi}, T_{gh}, T_{iGmax}, V_G, V_I, \tau_g, \tau_i \in (0, \infty)$  investigated in [3]. In [3], the author proved that (2) admits positive bounded solutions for any positive initial condition, that there is a unique positive equilibrium  $(G_b, I_b)$ , which consists of the basal levels of glucose and insulin concentration, and this equilibrium point is locally asymptotically stable according to a very broad set of parameter values. That paper provides also a sufficient condition ensuring the global asymptotic stability.

However, it is very interesting to see the connection of (2) to (1). In practice, when formulating (2), we actually replace the first derivative  $\dot{G}(t)$  and  $\dot{I}(t)$  of  $G$  and  $I$  at  $t$  by their first right approximation

$$\frac{G(t+h) - G(t)}{h}, \quad \frac{\tilde{I}(t+h) - \tilde{I}(t)}{h}$$

for  $h > 0$  sufficient small. Thus, formally, system (2) comes from

$$\begin{cases} \frac{G(t+h) - G(t)}{h} = -K_{xg} G(t) - K_{xgi} G(t) \tilde{I}(t - \tau_i) + \frac{T_{gh}}{V_G} \\ I_{n+1} = \frac{\tilde{I}(t+h) - \tilde{I}(t)}{h} = -K_{xi} \tilde{I}(t) + \frac{T_{iGmax}}{V_I} f(G(t - \tau_g)), \end{cases}$$

\* This works is supported partially by Central Project-VNU, Grant QGTD-08.09.

for small  $h$ . If we set

$$G_h(t) := G(ht), \quad I_h(t) := \tilde{I}(ht), \quad t = nh, \quad \frac{\tau_i}{h} = m_i, \quad \frac{\tau_g}{h} = m_g,$$

then preceding system becomes

$$\begin{cases} G_h(n+1) = \alpha G_h(n) - \beta G_h(n) I_h(n - m_i) + \Gamma \\ I_h(n+1) = \lambda I_h(n) + \Delta f(G_h(n - m_g)), \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} G_{n+1} = \alpha G_n - \beta G_n I_{n-m_i} + \Gamma \\ I_{n+1} = \lambda I_n + \Delta f(G_{n-m_g}), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\alpha = 1 - hK_{xg}, \quad \beta = hK_{xgi}, \quad \Gamma = h \frac{T_{gh}}{V_G}, \quad \lambda = 1 - hK_{xi}, \quad \Delta = h \frac{T_{iG\max}}{V_I}.$$

## 2. The results

We consider the discrete system of Glucose and Insulin (1), where

$$f(G) = \frac{G^\gamma}{G^\gamma + C_*^\gamma}$$

defined on positive reals,  $m_i, m_g$  are two fixed positive integer,  $\alpha, \lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $\gamma, \beta, \Gamma, \Delta$  are positive parameters. The positive initial values  $G_{-m_g}, G_{-m_g+1}, \dots, G_0; I_{-m_i}, I_{-m_i+1}, \dots, I_0$  are given. The derivative of  $f$  is

$$f'(G) = \frac{\gamma G_*^\gamma G^{\gamma-1}}{(G^\gamma + G_*^\gamma)^2} > 0,$$

so  $f$  is increasing. After some computations, it can be seen that the system (1) admits positive bounded solutions for any positive initial conditions. We have

$$\sup_{G \geq 0} f(G) = 1$$

and

$$f''(G) = 2G_*^\gamma G^{\gamma-2} \frac{(\gamma-1)G_*^\gamma - (\gamma+1)G^\gamma}{(G^\gamma + G_*^\gamma)^2},$$

so, if  $\gamma \leq 1$ ,  $f'$  is decreasing, and if  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $f'$  is unimodal. Thus,

$$\sup_{G \geq 0} f'(G) = f'(G_0) = \frac{(\gamma+1)^2(\gamma-1)}{4\gamma G_0}, \quad \text{if } \gamma > 1,$$

$$\text{where } G_0 = \sqrt[\gamma]{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}} G_*,$$

$$\sup_{G \geq 0} f'(G) = f'(0) = \frac{1}{G_*}, \quad \text{if } \gamma = 1,$$

$$\sup_{G \in [0, \bar{G}]} f'(G) = \infty, \quad \text{if } \gamma < 1,$$

$$\sup_{G \in [\bar{G}, \infty]} f'(G) = f'(\bar{G}), \quad \text{if } \gamma < 1.$$

**Proposition 1.** System (1) has a unique positive equilibrium  $(\bar{G}, \bar{I})$ , which consists of the basal levels of glucose and insulin concentrations.

*Proof.* Each equilibrium point  $(\bar{G}, \bar{I})$  has to satisfy the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} \bar{G}(1 - \alpha + \beta\bar{I}) = \Gamma \\ \bar{I} = \frac{\Delta}{1 - \lambda} f(\bar{G}), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

that is

$$\varphi(\bar{G}) = \Gamma + (\alpha - 1)\bar{G} - \beta\bar{G} \cdot \bar{I} = 0, \quad \varphi(0) = \Gamma > 0.$$

We have

$$\varphi'(G) = -(1 - \alpha) - \beta \frac{\Delta}{1 - \lambda} f'(G) - \frac{f'(G)\beta G \Delta}{1 - \lambda} < 0$$

and  $\lim_{G \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(G) = -\infty$ . This implies that,  $\varphi$  is a decreasing function for positive argument, starting from a positive value at zero, and it may hence have at most one positive root.

**Remark.** Recall that for any scalar difference inequality of the type:

$$x_{n+1} \leq \lambda x_n + \beta, \quad \lambda, \beta \in \square \quad (4)$$

it is

$$\begin{cases} x_n \leq \lambda^{n-n_0} x_0 + \beta \sum_{l=n_0+1}^n \lambda^{n-l}, & \text{if } n_0 \leq n, \\ x_n \leq \lambda^{-(n_0-n)} x_0 - \beta \sum_{l=n+1}^{n_0} \lambda^{n-l}, & \text{if } n_0 \geq n. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, by changing the inequality in (4):

$$x_{n+1} \geq \lambda x_n + \beta, \quad \lambda, \beta \in \square,$$

we have

$$\begin{cases} x_n \geq \lambda^{n-n_0} x_0 + \beta \sum_{l=n_0+1}^n \lambda^{n-l}, & \text{if } n_0 \leq n, \\ x_n \geq \lambda^{-(n_0-n)} x_0 - \beta \sum_{l=n+1}^{n_0} \lambda^{n-l}, & \text{if } n_0 \geq n. \end{cases}$$

First, we consider the system (1) in the case  $m_i = 0$ :

$$\begin{cases} G_{n+1} = \alpha G_n - \beta G_n I_n + \Gamma, \\ I_{n+1} = \lambda I_n + \Delta f(G_{n-m_g}). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

**Proposition 2.** Let  $(G_n, I_n)$  be a positive bounded solution of the system (5). Then,  $G_n$  is bounded by the following sequences of upper and lower bounds:

$$m_k \leq G_n \leq M_k,$$

with  $M_0 = \frac{\Gamma}{1 - \alpha}$ ,  $\beta\Delta < \alpha(1 - \lambda)$ ,  $m_k = l(M_k)$ ,  $M_{k+1} = l(m_k)$ , and

$$l(x) = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \lambda)}{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \lambda) + \beta\Delta f(x)}.$$

*Proof.* Proposition 2 is proved by induction. From the system (5) we have

$$G_{n+1} \leq \alpha G_n + \Gamma.$$

Therefore, for any arbitrary  $n_0 \leq n$ , we have

$$G_n \leq \alpha^{n-n_0} G_0 + \Gamma \cdot \frac{1 - \alpha^{n-n_0}}{1 - \alpha}.$$

Taking  $n_0 \rightarrow -\infty$ , it follows that

$$G_n \leq \frac{\Gamma}{1-\alpha} = M_0.$$

Assume that there exists  $M_k$  such that  $G_n \leq M_k$ . Then, from (5) we obtain

$$I_{n+1} = \lambda I_n + \Delta f(G_{n-m_k}) \leq \lambda I_n + \Delta f(M_k).$$

Therefore, for any arbitrary  $n_0 \leq n$ ,

$$I_n \leq \lambda^{n-n_0} I_0 + \Delta f(M_k) \cdot \frac{1-\lambda^{n-n_0}}{1-\lambda}.$$

Taking  $n_0 \rightarrow -\infty$ , it follows that

$$I_n \leq \frac{\Delta f(M_k)}{1-\lambda}$$

and from (5) we have

$$G_{n+1} = \alpha G_n - \beta G_n I_n + \Gamma \geq \left( \alpha - \frac{\beta \Delta f(M_k)}{1-\lambda} \right) G_n + \Gamma$$

and

$$G_n \geq \left( \alpha - \frac{\beta \Delta f(M_k)}{1-\lambda} \right) G_0 + \Gamma \cdot \frac{1 - \left( \alpha - \frac{\beta \Delta f(M_k)}{1-\lambda} \right)^{n-n_0}}{1 - \left( \alpha - \frac{\beta \Delta f(M_k)}{1-\lambda} \right)}.$$

Taking  $n_0 \rightarrow -\infty$ , it follows that

$$G_n \geq \frac{\Gamma}{1-\alpha + \frac{\beta \Delta f(M_k)}{1-\lambda}} = m_k = l(M_k).$$

On the other hand, since

$$I_{n+1} = \lambda I_n + \Delta f(G_{n-m_k}) \geq \lambda I_n + \Delta f(m_k),$$

so

$$I_n \geq \lambda^{n-n_0} I_0 + \Delta f(m_k) \cdot \frac{1-\lambda^{n-n_0}}{1-\lambda}.$$

Taking  $n_0 \rightarrow -\infty$ , it follows that

$$I_n \geq \frac{\Delta f(m_k)}{1-\lambda},$$

which implies

$$G_{n+1} \leq \left( \alpha - \frac{\beta \Delta f(m_k)}{1-\lambda} \right) G_n + \Gamma$$

and

$$G_n \leq \left( \alpha - \frac{\beta \Delta f(m_k)}{1-\lambda} \right)^{n-n_0} G_0 + \Gamma \cdot \frac{1 - \left( \alpha - \frac{\beta \Delta f(m_k)}{1-\lambda} \right)^{n-n_0}}{1 - \left( \alpha - \frac{\beta \Delta f(m_k)}{1-\lambda} \right)}.$$

Taking  $n_0 \rightarrow -\infty$ , it follows that

$$G_n \leq \frac{\Gamma}{1-\alpha + \frac{\beta \Delta f(m_k)}{1-\lambda}} = l(m_k) = M_{k+1}.$$

The proof is complete.

**Proposition 3.** Consider the sequences of bounds  $(M_k), (m_k)$  in Proposition 2.

Then:

- $(M_k)$  is a bounded monotone decreasing sequence;
- $(m_k)$  is a bounded monotone increasing sequence.

*Proof.* We have

$$M_1 = l(m_0) = \frac{\Gamma(1-\lambda)}{(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda) + \beta \Delta f(m_0)} < \frac{\Gamma(1-\lambda)}{(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda)} = \frac{\Gamma}{1-\alpha} = M_0.$$

It is easy to see that

$$M_{k+1} = l(M_k), \quad m_{k+1} = l(m_k).$$

Since

$$l'(x) = \frac{-\beta \Delta f'(x)}{(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda) + \beta \Delta f(x)}^2 < 0,$$

it follows that  $l(x)$  is monotonically decreasing in  $x$ , so that  $l(l(x))$  is monotonically increasing in  $x$ . Hence, if  $M_k < M_{k-1}$ , then

$$M_{k+1} = l(l(M_k)) \leq l(l(M_{k-1})) = M_k$$

and

$$m_k = l(M_k) \geq l(M_{k-1}) = m_{k-1}.$$

The proof is complete.

By Proposition 2 and Proposition 3, we obtain the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** If  $\bar{x}$  is the only positive root of the equation  $x = l(l(x))$ , then every positive solution of the system (5) converge to the positive equilibrium  $(\bar{G}, \bar{I})$ , where  $\bar{G} = \bar{x}$  and  $\bar{I} = \frac{\Delta f(\bar{x})}{1-\lambda}$ .

Next, we will use  $\omega$ -limit set of a persistent ([1], [2]) to study the global attraction of the basal levels of the system (1). For a persistent solution  $(G_n, I_n)$  of (1), we let  $\omega(G, I) \subset \square_+^{m_k+m_k+2}$  be the set of all limit-points of the sequence of vectors

$$(\underline{u}_n, \underline{v}_n) = (G_{n-m_k}, G_{n-m_k+1}, \dots, G_n; I_{n-m_k}, I_{n-m_k+1}, \dots, I_n)_n.$$

This set is compact and invariant under the map  $T: \square_+^{m_k+m_k+2} \rightarrow \square_+^{m_k+m_k+2}$  defining by  $T(\underline{u}_0, \underline{v}_0) = (\underline{u}_1, \underline{v}_1)$ . Here,  $(\underline{u}_0, \underline{v}_0)$  is vector of initial data, which is running in the positive quarter of  $\square_+^{m_k+m_k+2}$ . The map  $T$  takes the initial data to the next data. This map is well-defined. Moreover, the map  $T$  maps  $\omega(G, I)$  onto (surjectively) itself.

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $(G_n, I_n)$  be a positive bounded solution of the system (1), and denote

$$G_m = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n, \quad G_M = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n, \quad I_m = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n, \quad I_M = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} I_n.$$

Then

$$I_m \leq \bar{I} \leq I_M \leq \frac{\Delta}{1-\lambda} \sup_{G \geq 0} f(G),$$

$$G_m \leq \bar{G} \leq G_M \leq \frac{\Gamma}{1-\alpha + \beta I_m}.$$

*Proof.* First, we construct four full time solutions  $(\tilde{G}_n, \tilde{I}_n), (\tilde{\tilde{G}}_n, \tilde{\tilde{I}}_n), (\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{G}}}_n, \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{I}}}_n), (\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{G}}}}_n, \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{I}}}}_n)$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_0 &= I_M, \tilde{I}_n \geq I_m, G_m \leq \tilde{G}_n \leq G_M, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \tilde{\tilde{G}}_0 &= G_m, \tilde{\tilde{G}}_n \leq G_M, I_m \leq \tilde{\tilde{I}}_n \leq I_M, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{I}}}_0 &= I_m, \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{I}}}_n \leq I_M, G_m \leq \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{G}}}_n \leq G_M, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{G}}}}_0 &= G_M, \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{G}}}}_n \geq G_m, I_m \leq \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{I}}}}_n \leq I_M, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following inequality

$$I_M = \tilde{I}_0 = \lambda \tilde{I}_{-1} + \Delta f(\tilde{G}_{-1-m_g}) \leq \lambda \tilde{I}_0 + \Delta f(\tilde{G}_{-1-m_g}),$$

or

$$\tilde{I}_0 \leq \frac{\Delta}{1-\lambda} f(\tilde{G}_{-1-m_g}).$$

If  $\tilde{G}_{-1-m_g} < \bar{G}$ , then  $G_m < \bar{G}$  and

$$I_M \leq \frac{\Delta}{1-\lambda} f(\tilde{G}_{-1-m_g}) < \frac{\Delta}{1-\lambda} f(\bar{G}) = \bar{I}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$G_m = \tilde{\tilde{G}}_0 = \alpha \tilde{\tilde{G}}_{-1} - \beta \tilde{\tilde{G}}_{-1} \tilde{\tilde{I}}_{-1-m_i} + \Gamma \geq \alpha \tilde{\tilde{G}}_0 - \beta \tilde{\tilde{G}}_0 \tilde{\tilde{I}}_{-1-m_i} + \Gamma,$$

or

$$\tilde{\tilde{G}}_0(1-\alpha + \beta \tilde{\tilde{I}}_{-1-m_i}) \geq \Gamma,$$

or

$$G_m(1-\alpha + \beta \tilde{\tilde{I}}_{-1-m_i}) \geq \Gamma.$$

But in this case  $G_m < \bar{G}$  and  $I_M < \bar{I}$ , so

$$\Gamma \leq G_m(1-\alpha + \beta \tilde{\tilde{I}}_{-1-m_i}) < \bar{G}(1-\alpha + \beta \bar{I}) = \Gamma,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, the hypothesis that  $\tilde{G}_{-1-m_g} < \bar{G}$  is false. So we have  $\tilde{G}_{-1-m_g} \geq \bar{G}$ , and

consequently,  $I_M \geq \bar{I}$  and  $G_M \geq \bar{G}$ . By using two full time solutions  $(\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{G}}}_n, \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{I}}}_n), (\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{G}}}}_n, \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{I}}}}_n)$  we will get  $I_m \leq \bar{I}$  and  $G_m \leq \bar{G}$ . The proof is complete.

**THEOREM 3.** Assume that  $(G_n, I_n)$  is a persistent solution of the system (1). If one of  $(G_n)$  and  $(I_n)$  does not oscillate around its basal level, then both of them converge to their basal levels.

*Proof.* From the proof of Theorem 2 we have

$$\frac{\Delta}{1-\lambda} f(G_m) \leq I_m \leq \bar{I} \leq I_M \leq \frac{\Delta}{1-\lambda} f(G_M),$$

$$G_M(1-\alpha + \beta I_m) \leq \Gamma \leq G_m(1-\alpha + \beta I_M).$$

From the inequality  $\Gamma \leq G_m(1-\alpha + \beta I_m)$ , it follows that if  $I_M = \bar{I}$ , then  $\Gamma = \bar{G}(1-\alpha + \beta \bar{I}) \leq G_m(1-\alpha + \beta \bar{I})$ , which implies  $\bar{G} \leq G_m$ . Therefore  $G_m = \bar{G}$ . Now, the inequality  $\frac{\Delta}{1-\lambda} f(G_m) \leq I_m$  will give  $\bar{I} \leq I_m$  so that  $I_m = \bar{I}$ . Hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n = \bar{I}$ . Again by  $G_M(1-\alpha + \beta I_m) \leq \Gamma$  we have  $G_M = \bar{G}$ , or equivalently,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n = \bar{G}$ .

Similarly, if  $I_m = \bar{I}$ , then  $G_M = \bar{G}$ . We can conclude that both  $(I_n)$  and  $(G_n)$  converge to their basal levels. The proof is complete.

THEOREM 4. Put

$$L_1 = \frac{\Delta}{1-\lambda} \sup_{G \in [\bar{G}, \infty)} f'(G), \quad L_2 = \frac{\Delta}{1-\lambda} \sup_{G \in [0, \bar{G}]} f'(G),$$

$$L_3 = \frac{\Gamma\beta}{(1-\alpha + \beta\bar{I})(1-\alpha + \beta I_M)}, \quad L_4 = \frac{\Gamma\beta}{(1-\alpha + \beta\bar{I})(1-\alpha + \beta I_m)}.$$

If  $L_1 L_2 L_3 L_4 < 1$ , then every positive solution of the system (1) converge to the positive equilibrium, or equivalently, their basal levels are globally attractive.

*Proof.* We construct two full time solutions  $(\tilde{G}_n, \tilde{I}_n), (\tilde{G}_n, \tilde{I}_n)$  such that

$$\tilde{I}_0 = I_M, \quad \tilde{I}_n \geq I_m, \quad G_m \leq \tilde{G}_n \leq G_M, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\tilde{G}_0 = G_m, \quad \tilde{G}_n \leq G_M, \quad I_m \leq \tilde{I}_n \leq I_M, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As before, we have

$$I_M = \tilde{I}_0 \leq \frac{\Delta}{1-\lambda} f(\tilde{G}_{-1-m_s}).$$

It follows that

$$I_M - \bar{I} \leq \frac{\Delta}{1-\lambda} f(\tilde{G}_{-1-m_s}) - f(\bar{G}) \leq L_1(G_M - \bar{G}), \tag{6}$$

and

$$\bar{I} - I_m \leq \frac{\Delta}{1-\lambda} f(\bar{G}) - f(G_m) \leq L_2(\bar{G} - G_m). \tag{7}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\bar{G} - G_m \leq \frac{\Gamma}{1-\alpha + \beta\bar{I}} - \frac{\Gamma}{1-\alpha + \beta I_M} \leq L_3(I_M - \bar{I}), \tag{8}$$

and

$$G_M - \bar{G} \leq \frac{\Gamma}{1-\alpha + \beta I_m} - \frac{\Gamma}{1-\alpha + \beta\bar{I}} \leq L_4(\bar{I} - I_m). \tag{9}$$

From (6), (7), (8) and (9), we obtain

$$I_M - \bar{I} \leq L_1 L_2 L_3 L_4 (I_M - \bar{I}),$$

$$G_M - \bar{G} \leq L_1 L_2 L_3 L_4 (G_M - \bar{G}),$$

which implies  $I_M = \bar{I} = I_m, G_M = \bar{G} = G_m$ . The proof is complete.

#### REFERENCES

1. Dang Vu Giang and Dinh Cong Huong, Extinction, Persistence and Global stability in models of population growth // J. Math. Anal. Appl. 308 (2005), P. 195 – 207.
2. Dang Vu Giang and Dinh Cong Huong, Nontrivial periodicity in discrete delay models of population growth // J. Math. Anal. Appl. 305 (2005), P. 291 – 295.
3. Palumbo, P. Gaetano, Qualitative behavior of a family of delay-differential models of glucose-insulin system // P. Palumbo, S. Panuzi and A. Gaetano // Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B 7 (2007), P. 722 – 735.

Поступила 28.08.2008