RESEARCH ARTICLE| MARCH 27 2024

Use of antennae standing wave coefficient measurements for determining an anisotropic medium over hydrocarbons

Viktar Yanushkevich;

Dzmitry Dauhiala;

Tatsiana Maladzechkina;

Siarhei Kalintsau;

Vadim Bogush

Author & Article Information
AIP Conf. Proc. 3102, 040019 (2024)
https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0199953

The paper presents a study of the standing wave coefficient of antennas for detecting an anisotropic medium over hydrocarbons. Experimental studies were carried out on real hydrocarbon fields. The results of conducted tests confirmed the increase in accuracy of hydrocarbon deposit boundaries determination on the basis of antennae standing wave ratio measurements by 20 - 30 %. The obtained results can be used in prospecting geophysics for increasing the accuracy of determining boundaries of deposits by measuring antennae standing waves ratio in a wide range of frequencies and for increasing resolving power of deposit positioning by measuring standing waves ratio of two or more antennas.

Topics
Geophysics, **Telecommunications engineering**, **Organic compounds**

https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0199953

REFERENCES

1.D.V. Gololobov, Interaction of electromagnetic waves and hydrocarbon deposits (Minsk, Bestprint, 2009).

Google Scholar

2.V.N. Moskvichew, Eng. and Electr. 18, 91–96 (1989).

Google Scholar

3.J. Fielding, Geophys. Res. Lett 25, 3215 (1998).https://doi.org/10.1029/98GL52260

Google ScholarCrossref

4.V.N. Moskvichew, Interraction of electromagnetic waves (EMW) with anisotropic inclusion in communication line 9-th Microw, Conf. NICON – 91, 20-22 May 1991, Rydzyna (1991), pp. 240–244.

Google Scholar

5.V.F. Yanushkevich, D.A. Dauhiala, A.L. Adamovich, S.N. Abramenka and S.V. Kalintsau, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 2373(5), 052016 (2022).

Google Scholar

6.V.F. Yanushkevich, D.A. Dauhiala, T.V. Maladzechkina, S.V. Kalintsau and V.A. Bogush, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 2373(5), 052026 (2022).

Google Scholar

7.K.M. Strack and S. Davydycheva, New Approaches in Engineering Research 2, 69–79 (2021).https://doi.org/10.9734/bpi/naer/v2/8202D

Google ScholarCrossref

8.K.M. Strack and K. Vozoff, Geophys. Prospect 44, 71–74 (1996).https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2478.1996.tb00188.x

Google ScholarCrossref

9.R. Streich, Survey in geophysics 37, 47–80 (2016).https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-015-9336-0

Google ScholarCrossref

10.C.H. Henke, M. Krieger, K. Strack and A. Zerilli, Interpretatio 8(4), 15–24 (2020).https://doi.org/10.1190/INT-2020-0026.1

Google ScholarCrossref

11.S.L. Helwig, W. Wood and B. Gloux, Geophys. Prospect 67(6), 1582–1594 (2019).https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2478.12771

Google ScholarCrossref

12.I.A. Geldmacher and K. Strack, GRC Transactions 41, 1649–1658 (2017).

Google Scholar

13.T. Holten, X. Luo, G. Naevdal and S.L. Helwig, Time lapse CSEM reservoir monitoring of the Norne field with vertical dipoles, SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts, Dallas, pp. 971–975 (2016).

Google Scholar

14.B. Arkoprovo, Advances in Modeling and Interpretation in Near Surface Geophysics (Springer, 2020).

Google Scholar

15.K.M. Strack, Survey in geophysics 35, 157–177 (2014).https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-013-9237-z

Google ScholarCrossref